

# **SPECTRALASE**

# **IMPLANTOLOGY DIODE LASER**

**SPECTRUM LASERS**  
**SINCE 1998**

San Francisco, CA  
[www.spectralasedental.com](http://www.spectralasedental.com)  
Bcobleyn@msn.com  
925-788-3954

# The Only Diode Laser Developed To Treat Peri-Implantitis

In Addition To Standard Soft Tissue Procedures



## SPECTRALASE IMPLANTOLOGY DIODE LASER

### SPECTRUM LASERS INC.

Manufacturing Diode Lasers In San Francisco Since 1998.

Built by renowned laser physicist Dr. George Bekov, PhD,  
with over 30 years experience manufacturing medical and LASIK surgery lasers.

### Proven Effective

- Using a 980nm diode laser has been recommended by many authors (1-17,20,22,25-31,37) and proven in numerous *in vivo* studies (1-14) to effectively eliminate bacteria and contribute considerably in successfully treating peri implantitis.

### Proven Safety

- Extensive research concludes that 980nm diode lasers can be used safely on implant surfaces, (2,3,5,12,14,16,17,18-19,23,24,29,30-31).

# Spectralase Advantages

- Most effective and safest 980nm wavelength (2,5,13,16-17,19,)
- 20 watt laser to insure bacterial elimination
- Most advanced pulse mode
- History of dependability, 10+ year life
- Energy calibrated to 99% accuracy
- Science based, no commercial gimmicks
- Closed pocket access.
- Support, call the owners
- No maintenance
- 3 year warranty
- No expensive single use tips

## Essential Advanced Pulse Mode

- A pulse mode allows tissue and implant to cool in between each pulse. Using a high power pulsed interval versus continuous wave (CW) allows for maximum bacterial mortality without exceeding the critical temperature threshold,(18,21,32)
- Greater damage to the collateral tissues with the use of continuous wave, CW mode, (32).



## Cost

A Fraction Of The Cost Of Other Lasers

- **Spectralase Implantology Diode Laser \$14,995**  
No maintenance, 3 year warranty  
No single use tips, \$150 per yr to use
- **CO 2 Lasers \$30,000-\$55,000**  
Expensive maintenance, 1-2 year warranty  
Replacement tube \$2500-\$7500 every 2-5 years  
Extended warranty \$3,000-\$6000 per year
- **Er:YAG LASERS \$80,000-\$125,000**  
Expensive maintenance  
Expensive extended warranty
- **Nd:YAG Contraindicated**
- **Other Diode Lasers**  
Expensive non efficient single use tips \$2000 pr yr

## Laser Comparison

- An alternative to expensive CO2 lasers
- According to many studies, Nd:YAG and Ho:YAG are not suitable for use in decontamination of implant surfaces, (2,3,5,12,14,17-19,29,30-31,)
- Er:YAG and CO2 power must be limited, (23). Previous studies with CO2 lasers have shown the associated risk with high temperature,(17,34).
- Some studies do not recommend CO2 lasers for peri-implantitis, (17). CO2 induced surface changes in the hydroxyapatite (31)
- The wavelength of the diode laser is considerably more absorbed in hemoglobin than an Nd:YAG laser. This causes not only a better incision but also an excellent coagulation of tissue deeper incisions achieved with the diode laser than with the CO2 or Nd:YAG laser at the same power setting, (21).
- Precise incision margin with 980nm Diode (13,37). Without damage to underlying tissue, (12)
- **Other Diode Lasers**  
Insufficient power and pulse mode to treat peri-implantitis and history as unreliable.

"Periimplantitis is a problem we must deal with. We are excited about this science based necessary innovation as an alternative to expensive and high maintenance CO2 lasers. Manufactured by a reputable laser company in San Francisco." Dr. Randolph Resnik, Prosthodontist, Pittsburgh, PA, Surgical Director, Misch Implant Institute

# References

1. Bach G, Neckel C, Mall C, Krekeler G. Conventional Versus Laser-Assisted Therapy of Periimplantitis: A Five-Year Comparative Study. *Implant Dent* 2000;9:247-251 **Considerably effective in vivo**
2. Romanos G, Javed F, Delgado-Ruiz R, Calvo-Guirado J. Peri-implant Diseases A Review of Treatment Interventions. *Dental Clinics of North America*:2015, 59 (1), 157-178 **Effective in vivo, temperature increase a major risk with CO<sub>2</sub> and Er:YAG after 10 seconds.**  
**Nd:YAG laser is contraindicated**
3. Bach G, Diode laser surface decontamination in periodontitis therapy 15 years of incorporating. *Int M of Oral Implantology* 2011; 3 (1) 7-12 **Considerably effective, no thermal damage in vivo**
4. Bach G, Neckel C, Frejbu G. A 5-year comparative study on conventional and laser assisted therapy of periimplantitis and periodontitis. *Implant Dent*. 2000 9, 247-251 **Significantly effective**
5. Romanos G, Nentwig G. Regenerative Therapy of Deep Peri-implant Infrabony Defects After CO<sub>2</sub> Laser Implant Surface Decontamination. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2008;28:245-255.  
**Considerably effective, diode only alternative to CO<sub>2</sub>**
6. Pai J, Shridhar A, Kamath V, Jaiswal N, Malagi S, Radhika B. Adjunctive use of diode lasers in the treatment of peri-implantitis: A case series. *Journal of Dental Implants*. 2014;4(1):91-97 **Safe effective positive biostimulation effects in vivo in contact and non contact**
7. Metraux G, A concept of laser assisted treatment of periimplantitis *Int M of Oral Implantology* 2011; 3 (1) 30-35 **Obvious bone regeneration after 2 years, biofilm management**
8. Roncati M, Lucchese A, Carinci F. Non-surgical treatment of peri-implantitis with the adjunctive use of an 810-nm diode laser. *JIS of Periodontology*.2013;17(6):812. **Effective non surgical**
9. Cobb CM. Lasers in periodontics: A review of the literature. *J Periodontal*. 2006;77:545-64. **Diode lasers have a bactericidal effect, 278 possible peer reviewed articles.**
10. Moritz A, Schoop U, Goharkhay K, Shauer P, Doertbuduk O,Wernisch J, et al. Treatment of periodontal pockets with a diode laser. *Lasers Surg Med* 1998;22:302-11. **Bacterial reduction with diode laser therapy was significantly better**
12. Romanos GE, Treatment of periimplant lesions using different laser systems. *Oral Laser Appl*. 2002; 2; 75-80  
**Superficial absorption with no damage to underlying tissue with diode**
13. Romanos G, Nentwig GH, Diode Laser (980 nm) in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgical Procedures: Clinical Observations Based on Clinical Applications. *Journ of Clinical Laser Medicine and Surgery*. 1999; 17 (5) 193-197 **Precise incision margin**
14. Gonçalves F<sup>1</sup>, Zanetti AL, Zanetti RV, Martelli FS, Avila-Campos MJ, Tomazinho LF, Granjeiro JM. Effectiveness of 980-mm diode and 1064-nm extra-long-pulse neodymium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet lasers in implant disinfection. *Photomed Laser Surg*. 2010; 28(2):273-80. **100% bacteria reduction without damaging implant**
15. Tosun E, Tasar F, Strauss R, Kivanc G, Ungor C. Comparative Evaluation of Antimicrobial Effects of Er:YAG, Diode, and CO<sub>2</sub> Lasers on Titanium Discs: An Experimental Study. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2012; 70:1064-1069 **100% bacteria elimination with diode**
16. Romanos G, Hayo G, Nentwig G. Effects of Diode and Nd:YAG Laser Irradiation on Titanium Discs: A Scanning Electron Microscope Examination, *J Periodontol* 2000;71:810-815  
**980 does not damage implant regardless of power setting**
17. Garg H, Garg V, Jagadeesh H G, Bedi G. Peri-Implantitis and Lasers-A Review. *Int J Biol Med Res*. 2012; 3(3):2302-2305  
**Significant reduction bacteria in pockets, no damage with 980nm diode. Does not recommend the use of CO<sub>2</sub> or Nd:YAG lasers in peri implantitis.**
18. Chris Leja C ,Geminiani A, Caton J, Romanos G. Thermodynamic effects of laser irradiation of implants placed in bone: an in vitro study. *Lasers Med Sci*. 2013 Nov;28(6):1435-40.  
**980 pulsed was only laser to not reach critical threshold**
19. Khandge N, Pradhan S, Doshi Y, Kulkarni A. Comparison of the Effects of Different Laser Wavelengths on Implants Surfaces. *International Journal of Laser Dentistry*, 2013;3(1):14-1  
**980 pulsed most effective and only laser without negative surface defects, better than 810 performance, coagulation and deeper incisions than CO<sub>2</sub> or Nd:YAG laser at the same power setting**
20. Hauser-Gerspach I<sup>1</sup>, Stübinger S, Meyer J. Bactericidal effects of different laser systems on bacteria adhered to dental implant surfaces: an in vitro study comparing zirconia with titanium. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2010 Mar;21(3):277-83. **Effectively reduced bacteria**
21. Goharkhay K, Moritz A, Wilder-Smith P, Schoop U, Kluger W, Jakolitsch S, Sperr W. Effects on oral soft tissue produced by a diode laser in vitro. *Lasers Surg Med* 1999; 25:401-406  
**Pulsed eliminates risk of thermal damage, safer than CW.**
23. Kreisler M<sup>1</sup>, Götz H, Duschner H. Effect of Nd:YAG, Ho:YAG, Er:YAG, CO<sub>2</sub>, and GaAlAs (Diode) laser irradiation on surface properties of endosseous dental implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2002;17(2):202-11. **Nd:YAG and Ho:YAG lasers are not suitable. Er:YAG and CO<sub>2</sub> limit power to avoid damage. Diode safe.**
25. Romanos GE, Crespi R, Barone A, Covani U. Osteoblast attachment on titanium disks after laser irradiation. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2006;21:232-236.  
**Osteoblasts can be grown, may promote osteoblast attachment**
26. Walsh LJ. The use of lasers in implantology: An overview. *J Oral Implantol* 1992;18:335-40. **Diode effective, Nd:YAG not recommended**
30. Romanos GE, Treatment of periimplant lesions using different laser systems. *Oral Laser Appl*. 2002; 2; 75-80  
**No damage to underlying tissue**
31. Valderrama P, Blansett J, Gonzalez M, Cantu M, Wilson T. Detoxification of Implant Surfaces Affected by Peri-Implant Disease: An Overview of Non-surgical Methods. *The Open Dentistry Journal*, 2014, 8, (Suppl 1-M5) 77-84  
**CO<sub>2</sub> induced surface changes in the hydroxyapatite. Er:YAG laser caused surface alterations. Diode sterilized implant surfaces. Nd:YAG and Ho:YAG lasers not recommended**
32. Oppenheimer A. Temperature Changes Resulting from a GaAlAs (Diode) Laser in the Decontamination of a Failing Dental Implant. *Jour of S Car Acad of Sci*. 2009; (7) 2 28  
**CW exceeds temperature threshold. Pulse mode allows for higher power setting without reaching threshold for optimal bacteria kill**
34. Bains K, Gupka S, Bains R, Lasers in Periodontics: An Overview. *J OHCD*.2010; 4 29  
**Nd:YAG laser is contraindicated, CO<sub>2</sub> risk with high temperature**
35. Park CY<sup>1</sup>, Kim SG, Kim MD, Eom TG, Yoon JH, Ahn SG. Surface properties of endosseous dental implants after NdYAG and CO<sub>2</sub> laser treatment at various energies. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2005; 63(10): 1522-7. **Nd:YAG not recommended**
36. Yousif A, Zwinger S, Beer F, Verhagen L, IwittschierM, Strassle M, WintnerE. Investigation on Laser Dental Implant Decontamination. *JLMN-Journal of Laser Micro/Nanoengineering*. 2008; Vol. 3, No. 2, **Diode must be calibrated. Diode lasers real output parameters can differ significantly.**
37. Romanos G, Nentwig GH, Diode Laser (980 nm) in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgical Procedures: Clinical Observations Based on Clinical Applications. *Journ of Clinical Laser Medicine and Surgery*. 1999; 17 (5) 193-197  
**Precise incision margin, coagulation of vascular lesions, lack of swelling, bleeding, pain or, scar tissue formation, and good wound healing were observed in all of the clinical applications.**

18+ Years, Over 5000 Owners, 22 Residency Programs



